## IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

ROBERT NUNN, CIVIL ACTION

Plaintiff,

v.

CITY OF COATESVILLE, d/b/a COATESVILLE POLICE DEPARTMENT, et al., Defendants. NO. 24-6810

## ORDER

**AND NOW**, this 27th day of March, 2025, upon consideration of Defendants' Motion to Partially Dismiss Plaintiff's Amended Complaint (ECF No. 11) and Plaintiff's Response (ECF No. 13), **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED** as follows:

- 1. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Count I of the Amended Complaint as pleaded against Defendant Thomas Mack is **GRANTED AS UNCONTESTED.** Count I of the Amended Complaint (ECF No. 10) is therefore **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** as pleaded against Defendant Mack.
- 2. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Count I of the Amended Complaint insofar is it raises cruel and unusual punishment claims is **GRANTED AS UNCONTESTED**. Count I of the Amended Complaint (ECF No. 10) is therefore **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** insofar as Plaintiff pleads said claim against Defendants City of Coatesville and Felicia Delligatti.
- 3. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Count I of the Amended Complaint insofar is it raises an excessive force claim under the Fourteenth Amendment is **GRANTED AS UNCONTESTED**. Count I of the Amended Complaint (ECF No. 10) is therefore **DISMISSED WITH PREJUDICE** insofar as Plaintiff pleads said claim against Defendants City of Coatesville and Felicia Delligatti.
- 4. Defendants' Motion to Dismiss Count I of the Amended Complaint insofar is it raises a *Monell* claim against Defendant City of Coatesville is **GRANTED**. Count I of the Amended Complaint (ECF No. 10) is therefore **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE** insofar as Plaintiff pleads said claim against Defendants City of Coatesville.

**BY THE COURT:** 

S/ WENDY BEETLESTONE

WENDY BEETLESTONE, J.